The Senior Center at Shelburne Falls

Scoring key: Rate each site criteria using the key below.

- 5 = Agree completely with the statement
- 4 = Agree mostly with the statement
- Agree somewhat with the statement 3 =
- Disagree somewhat with the statement 2 =
- Disagree mostly with the statement 1 =
- Disagree completely with the statement 0 =

Site Selection Evaluation Criteria

• *The weight factor is a multiplier. Each criteria is scored 0-5 and then multiplied by the weight factor. Criteria 13 to 18 have negative weight factors. For all criteria, 0 is does not meet this criteria, and 5 is meets the criteria completely.

	<u>CRITERIA</u> SCORING MATRIX			<u>Bucklar</u> Police	<u>nd</u>	<u>Masonic</u> <u>Mole</u> <u>Building</u> <u>Hollow</u>		Shelburne Town Lot near ArmsCowell Gym Highland Village Properties		<u>d</u>	<u>King/</u> Bishop/ BSE Properties			
No.	Evaluation Criteria	Criteria Description	Weight Factor*	Score (0-5)		Score (0-5)		Score (0-5)		Score (0-5)	Score (0-5)		Score (0-5)	
1	Availability of site	The site is owned by the Town of Shelburne or Buckland, or available for potential purchase from an Owner(s) who has an interest in selling the property for a Senior Center.	10											
2	Neighborhood Impact	The Senior Center complements the neighborhood.	8											
3	Accessibility to Residents	The site is easily accessible from all three towns.	8											
4	Accessibility to Bus Line	The site is currently located along a bus line or at a location which could have one.	6											
5	Proximity to Downtown	The site is within walking distance of a main commercial district of Ashfield, Buckland or Shelburne.	6											
6	Parking Availability on Site	The parking availability directly on site is adequate.	6											
7	Parking Availability Nearby	Public parking availability nearby is adequate.	6											

Name and Town:

Date:

			Buckland Police		<u>Masor</u> Buildi	 <u>Mole</u> <u>Hollow</u>		Shelburne Town Lot near Arms Academy		Gym nd ties	<u>King/</u> <u>Bishop/ BSE</u> <u>Properties</u>	
No.	Evaluation Criteria	Criteria Description	Weight Factor*	Score (0-5)		Score (0-5)	core)-5)	Sco (0-5		Score (0-5)		Score (0-5)
8	Ownership of Site / Multiple Owners	The site is perceived to be relatively easy to gain ownership of, due to single owner, or is already owned by the Town of Shelburne or Buckland.	6									
9	Connect to Other Programs	The site provides opportunity for partnering with community programs.	4									
10	Future Use of Site	The location allows for adaptive future reuse of the building.	4									
11	Outdoor Space Available	There is ample space at the site for outdoor activities.	2									
12	Solar Potential	The site is advantageous for solar panels.	2									
	Negative Impacts											
13	Cost of Development	Based on current projected numbers, the site will be more expensive than average due to acquisition costs, site development issues and/or construction costs.	(8)									
14	Economic Impact	The site will impact the economics of the community by coming off the tax rolls and/or limiting business opportunities.	(6)									
15	Traffic	The site will cause undue increased traffic and safety concerns.	(6)									
16	Operating Costs	Based on current projected numbers, the new or renovated space will be more expensive to maintain due to site constraints, building complexity or other operating/maintenance costs than a comparable one story new building on a large flat site.	(6)									
17	Historical Impacts	The site has historic significance which might have a negative impact.	(4)									
18	Environmental Hazards	There are man-made and/or natural hazards affecting the site (ex. flood plain, former chemical storage, oil spills, etc.).	(2)									
		TOTAL SCORE										

• *The weight factor is a multiplier. Each criteria is scored 0-5 and then multiplied by the weight factor. Criteria 13 to 18 have negative weight factors. For all criteria, 0 is does not meet this criteria, and 5 is meets the criteria completely.